

The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species™ ISSN 2307-8235 (online) IUCN 2008: T118358386A100463851 Scope: Global Language: English

Epinephelus chlorostigma, Brownspotted Grouper

Assessment by: Fennessy, S., Choat, J.H., Nair, R. & Robinson, J.



View on www.iucnredlist.org

Citation: Fennessy, S., Choat, J.H., Nair, R. & Robinson, J. 2018. *Epinephelus chlorostigma*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2018: e.T118358386A100463851. http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2018-2.RLTS.T118358386A100463851.en

Copyright: © 2018 International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources

Reproduction of this publication for educational or other non-commercial purposes is authorized without prior written permission from the copyright holder provided the source is fully acknowledged.

Reproduction of this publication for resale, reposting or other commercial purposes is prohibited without prior written permission from the copyright holder. For further details see <u>Terms of Use</u>.

The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species[™] is produced and managed by the <u>IUCN Global Species Programme</u>, the <u>IUCN</u> <u>Species Survival Commission</u> (SSC) and <u>The IUCN Red List Partnership</u>. The IUCN Red List Partners are: <u>Arizona State</u> <u>University</u>; <u>BirdLife International</u>; <u>Botanic Gardens Conservation International</u>; <u>Conservation International</u>; <u>NatureServe</u>; <u>Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew</u>; <u>Sapienza University of Rome</u>; <u>Texas A&M University</u>; and <u>Zoological Society of London</u>.

If you see any errors or have any questions or suggestions on what is shown in this document, please provide us with <u>feedback</u> so that we can correct or extend the information provided.

Taxonomy

Kingdom	Phylum	Class	Order	Family
Animalia	Chordata	Actinopterygii	Perciformes	Epinephelidae

Taxon Name: Epinephelus chlorostigma (Valenciennes, 1828)

Synonym(s):

- Serranus areolatus ssp. japonicus Temminck & Schlegel, 1843
- Serranus assabensis Giglioli, 1889
- Serranus chlorostigma Valenciennes, 1828
- Serranus reevesii Richardson, 1846

Common Name(s):

- English: Brownspotted Grouper, Brown-spotted Grouper, Brown-spotted Reef-cod, Brownspotted Rockcod
- French: Gris fin, Loche pintade, Merou pintade, Pintade
- Spanish: Mero Pintado

Taxonomic Source(s):

Eschmeyer, W.N., Fricke, R., and Ven der Laan, R. (eds.). 2017. Catalog of Fishes: genera, species,references.Updated31July2017.Availableat:http://researcharchive.calacademy.org/research/ichthyology/catelog/fishcatmain.asp.

Taxonomic Notes:

Epinephelus chlorostigma is very similar to and easily confused with *E. gabriellae* and *E. polylepis*. These two species replace *E. chlorostigma* in the northwest Indian Ocean, including the Persian Gulf.

Assessment Information

Red List Category & Criteria:	Least Concern ver 3.1		
Year Published:	2018		
Date Assessed:	November 18, 2016		

Justification:

Epinephelus chlorostigma is a fairly widespread and relatively abundant species that inhabits reefs in both shallow and deep waters. There are signs of localised declines due to fishing effort in some areas, particularly in the Seychelles, and suspected declines in others (Indonesia). Catches of this species are generally low in comparison to other groupers in many parts of its range, which may be a function of its deeper depth preference. Population declines are not suspected on a global level at this time; therefore, it is listed as Least Concern. It is recommended that catches, particularly in areas where groupers are lumped, should be monitored carefully and frequently to provide more species-specific quantitative information.

Geographic Range

Range Description:

This Indo-Pacific species is distributed from Yemen to KwaZulu-Natal (South Africa), east to the western Pacific (including Madagascar), north to southern Japan and south to New Caledonia (Heemstra and Randall 1993). Records from the Persian Gulf are apparently misidentifications of *Epinephelus polylepis*; and records from the Red Sea are likely to be the newly-described *E. geoffroyi* (Randall *et al.* 2013). Although Randall *et al.* (2013) stated there are no confirmed records for continental waters of Asia or Australia, and none for the East Indies, except one from Papua New Guinea, it has since been reported from India, the eastern Andaman Sea, Timor, and off Northern Territory, Australia. Rome and Newman (2010) report it from Western Australia on offshore islands and shoals, and it features in landings on the east and west coasts of India. Its depth range is four to 280 metres.

It is known from the following specific localities: Djibouti (M. Samoilys and H. Choat pers. comm. 2016), Aden (Yemen), Somalia (Darar 1994, Mann and Fielding 2000; possible misidentification), Kenya, Tanzania, Mozambique, South Africa (KwaZulu-Natal), southwestern Madagascar (R. Myers distributional database 2016), east coast of Madagascar (A. Pages, Refrigepech Est. pers. comm.), Comoros, Seychelles, Réunion, Mauritius, Maldives, India, Indian islands (Andaman, Nicobars, Lakshadweep), Sri Lanka, Myanmar, Thailand (East Burma Sea), Cambodia, southern Vietnam, Korea, Indonesia/Malaysia (northern Sumatra, Malaysian peninsula, Sunda shelf, Bali), Western Australia, Papua New Guinea, New Caledonia and Vanuatu, Samoa, southern Japan, Fiji, Taiwan (R. Myers distributional database 2016), China (including Hong Kong).

Country Occurrence:

Native: American Samoa; Australia; British Indian Ocean Territory (Chagos Archipelago); Cambodia; China; Comoros; Djibouti; Fiji; Hong Kong; India; Indonesia; Japan; Kenya; Korea, Republic of; Macao; Madagascar; Maldives; Marshall Islands; Mauritius; Mayotte; Micronesia, Federated States of ; Mozambique; Myanmar; New Caledonia; Oman; Palau; Papua New Guinea; Réunion; Samoa; Seychelles; Solomon Islands; Somalia; South Africa; Sri Lanka; Taiwan, Province of China; Tanzania, United Republic of; Thailand; Timor-Leste; Tonga; Tuvalu; Vanuatu; Viet Nam; Wallis and Futuna; Yemen

FAO Marine Fishing Areas:

Native: Indian Ocean - western, Indian Ocean - eastern, Pacific - western central, Pacific - northwest, Pacific - eastern central

Distribution Map

Epinephelus chlorostigma







© The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species: Epinephelus chlorostigma – published in 2018. http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2018-2.RLTS.T118358386A100463851.en 3

Population

Epinephelus chlorostigma is an abundant species throughout most of its range, and is naturally uncommon in some regions. It is infrequently recorded in underwater surveys throughout its range (e.g., Chater et al. 1995, Robertson et al. 1996, Pereira 2003, Reef Life Survey, PROCFish); however, the species could be more abundant in the deeper portion of its depth range which is beyond the depths of typical survey effort. It was rarely recorded (three individuals out of 1,278 grouper individuals sampled) in a survey of boat catches from non-coral reefs off the central KwaZulu-Natal coast from 1985 to 1987 (Oceanographic Research Institute unpubl. data). In the same area, only two out of 365 grouper individuals sampled from commercial and recreational offshore boats from 1994 to 1996 were identified as this species (Mann et al. 1997), and none were observed during 2008 to 2009 (Dunlop 2011). It was moderately common (n=31 individuals, 4.5% of groupers) on the North Kenya Banks in the late 1950s (Morgans 1964), and uncommonly observed (n=4 of 130 groupers) during sampling of hook and line catches in the mid-1970s (Nzioka 1977). It was occasionally recorded in semi-industrial trap catches in central Mozambique from 1997 to 1998 (n=23 out of 1,304 grouper individuals)(Abdula et al. 2000). Only one individual out of >9,000 grouper individuals was observed in a survey of the semi-industrial line fishery in southern Mozambique from 2002 to 2014 (R. Mutombene unpublished data). It was uncommonly landed by contemporary artisanal fisheries targeting groupers off southern Kenya in February to July 2007 (one out of 950 grouper individuals) (Agembe et al. 2010). This species was commonly captured in the early 2000s in a commercial linefishing fleet out of Tamatave, Madagascar, and comprised about 20% of all grouper caught (total grouper catch was about 30 mt yr⁻¹) (A. Pages pers. comm.). It has been reported in catches from Reunion, but catch is lumped with several other grouper species (L. Miossec pers. comm.). Catches from Oman are likely misidentifications of E. qabriellae (J. McIlwain pers. comm). In India, landings fluctuated and were generally negligible between 2007 to 2014, except for 115 tons landed in 2008 (R. Nair pers. comm.; see Figure 1 in the Supplementary Information). Only nine out of 2,058 grouper individuals (0.4%) were identified as this species at landing sites on the east coast of India in 2009 to 2011 (Kandula et al. 2015), and 1% of grouper trawl landings on the west coast of India were this species (Manojkumar 2005). This species is reportedly abundant on seamounts off the west coast of India (Bineesh et al. 2014). It is reported from the Maldives, but no catch data are available (Sattar and Adams 2005, Sattar et al. 2011). Percentage contributions of this species to grouper catches from "essentially unexploited" deep-slope reefs were moderate to low in Melanesia (7.1%), Micronesia (2.4%) and Polynesia (0.2%) (Dalzell and Preston 1992 as reported in Dalzell et al. 1996). It contributed 1% to the total catch (~7% of all groupers) in the Indonesian (south-east) deep-water snapper fishery in 2014-2016, and spawning potential ratio was < 25%, suggesting that the stock was overexploited (Mous and Pet 2016a,b). Out of 5,425 groupers sampled in the Honiara fish market in the Solomons in 2015-2016, only 14 individuals of this species were observed (0.3%) (K. Rhodes pers. comm). This species contributed only 0.1% to the overall number of serranids in markets in Pohnpei (Micronesia) in January-May 2006 (Rhodes and Tupper 2007). In most years, this is the principal species of grouper landed by artisanal hook-and-line fisheries in the Seychelles. Catch per unit effort (CPUE) for grouper in the inshore handline fishery in the Seychelles, of which E. chlorostigma is one of the main species, significantly declined after 1990, from over 0.6 to less than 0.3 kg/fisher/hr (Grandcourt and Cesar 2002). CPUE in the offshore schooner line fishery also declined significantly, from around 3.5 kg/man^{-day} in 1986 to just over 1.0 kg/man^{-day} since 2002. By contrast, CPUE in the whaler handline fishery has remained relatively stable since 1990 (between 0.5 and 1.2 kg/man^{-day}), but effort estimation was confounded by a degree of target switching (demersal to pelagic) which may have varied from year to year and was not reliably accounted for in the surveys (Grandcourt and Cesar 2002). Assessments conducted in the early 1990s indicated that the fishery was exploited around maximum sustainable yield (MSY) levels (Mees 1992), localised depletion was observed and populations were considered vulnerable to pulse and sequential fishing as the whaler and schooner fisheries expanded and a mothership-dory fishery operated (Mees 1996). Landings declined significantly (130 to 60 tons) after the cessation of the mothership-dory fishery in 1994. Since then, landings have been variable but trending towards a decline (37 tons in 2015; see Figure 2 in the Supplementary Information). Though the results of a recent stock assessment are not unequivocal for this species, standardised CPUE based on a subset of the fleet data was fairly constant from 1985 to 1996, declined sharply in 1998 and peaked in 2002. Since then it has shown a general decline to 50% of the 2002 level by 2013 (Gutierrez 2015; see Figure 3 in the Supplementary Information). Albeit a standardized CPUE, Gutierrez (2015) cautioned that changes in fleet dynamics could have affected the trend. Moussac (1996) attributed a skewed sex ratio (F:M) of 2.4:1 to fishing, and noted that a more balanced ratio of 1.1:1 occurs where populations are not so heavily fished. Further, more than 50% of the catch was immature raising the possibility of recruitment overfishing. Recent annual estimates of length at first capture (Lc50: 31-36 cm) are slightly greater than 0.5L ∞ .

For further information about this species, see Supplementary Material.

Current Population Trend: Stable

Habitat and Ecology (see Appendix for additional information)

This species inhabits a wide depth range (four to 280 m) on coral and rocky reefs, outer reef slopes and seagrass beds (Heemstra and Randall 1993). In the Seychelles, it is common across the Mahe Plateau and surrounding banks, especially at depths from 40 to 60 m. It is more abundant over rough rubble and coralline areas, but has been taken in (experimental) trawls over more sandy areas (J. Robinson pers. comm.). In the Seychelles, it frequents the shelf edges of the banks and has been fished down to depths of 250 m (Intes and Bach 1989). It is less common on the atolls to the south of Seychelles and appears absent on shallow reefs (Pears 2005). In the South China Sea, it has also been recorded from mud bottoms. Its maximum total length is 75 to 81 cm (Heemstra and Randall 1993, MRAG 1996, Oceanographic Research Institute unpublished data). This species is protogynous, with sex change first occurring at around 34 cm total length, and the first active males appearing at 37 cm (Moussac 1996). Females mature between 23 and 29 cm total length (Heemstra and Randall 1993). In the Seychelles, Moussac (1996) reported maturity at about 31 cm. Morgans (1982) reported maturity at 25 cm standard length in Kenya (Sanders et al. 1988). The spawning season of Epinephelus chlorostigma in the Seychelles was protracted, occurring between November and April and with peaks at the beginning and end of that period (Sanders et al. 1988). These peak periods correspond to the inter-tropical monsoon months. While a few fishers have reported the formation of spawning aggregations (Robinson et al. 2004), mating and spatial patterns of spawning are unverified. It is considered unlikely that transient aggregations occur (or used to occur) in this species, as large aggregations of common target species are generally well known to fishers (J. Robinson pers. comm.).

Estimates of natural mortality using the growth parameters of Mees (1992) and Sanders *et al.* (1988) vary from 0.43 yr⁻¹, using Pauly's (1980) method, to between 0.37 and 0.39 yr-1 using Ralston's (1987) model, which is considered more reliable for slow-growing fish. One generation length (GL) is estimated at about 18 years (based on: GL = age of first reproduction (4 years) + [z * length of the reproductive period (29 years)]; z value of 0.5).

Systems: Marine

Use and Trade

This species is utilized in fisheries throughout its range.

Threats (see Appendix for additional information)

Unsustainable fishing pressure in some areas may be causing localised declines, but this is not considered a major threat on a global-level. Fishing effort may be increasing in some areas (Cunningham and Bodiguel 2006, Flewelling and Hosch 2006), but effort generally occurs in only the shallower portion of this species' wide depth range.

Conservation Actions (see Appendix for additional information)

This species occurs in some protected areas within its range. In KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa, most of the north coast and the adjacent coast of southern Mozambique is protected by a ~ 220 km long marine protected area in which no demersal fishing is allowed. There are also marine protected areas in northern Mozambique, Seychelles, Tanzania and Kenya which offer protection. In South Africa, a maximum of five individuals of this species may be retained by recreational fishers per day; there are no limits for commercial fishers. In Mozambique, a maximum of 10 individuals of demersal species may be retained by recreational fishers.

Credits

Assessor(s):	Fennessy, S., Choat, J.H., Nair, R. & Robinson, J
Reviewer(s):	Linardich, C.
Contributor(s):	Pollard, D.A.
Facilitators(s) and Compiler(s):	Craig, M.T.

Bibliography

Abdula, R.G., van der Elst, R.P., Lichucha, I.D.L.T., Govender, A. and Cuco, C. 2000. The industrial trapfishery of southern Mozambique. Results of experimental phase: 1997-1999 (unpublished report). Instituto de Investigação Pesqueira, Maputo.

Agembe S, Mlewa CM and Kaunda-Arara B. 2010. Catch composition, abundance and length-weight relationships of groupers (Pisces: Serranidae) from inshore waters of Kenya. *Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science* 9(1): 91-102.

Alkahem H. F. Ahmad Z. and Abdur-Rahman Al-Dhahi A. A. 2003. Length-weight studies of Epinephelus chlorostigma E. areolatus from Gulf. . *Journal of the Indian Fisheries Association* 65(65-71).

Bineesh, K.K., Akhilesh, K.V., Abdussamad, E.M. and Prakasan, D. 2014. Seamount associated fishery of south-west coast of India - a preliminary assessment. *Indian Journal of Fisheries* 61(3): 29-34.

Chater, S.A., Beckley, L.E., Garratt, P.A., Ballard, J.A. and van der Elst, R.P. 1993. Fishes from offshore reefs in the St Lucia and Maputaland Marine Reserves, South Africa. *Lammergeyer* 42: 1-17.

Chater, S.A., Beckley, L.E., van der Elst, R.P. and Garratt, P.A. 1995. Underwater visual census of fishes in the St Lucia marine reserve, South Africa.

Chen X, Chen H, Yang W, Lin L and Liu M. 2016. Complete mitochondrial genome and the phylogenetic position of the brown-spotted grouper Epinephelus chlorostigma (Perciformes: Epinephelidae). . *Mitochondrial DNA Part A* 27(6): 4628-9.

Cunningham, S. and Bodiguel, C. 2006. Subregional review: Southwest Indian Ocean. In: FAO (ed.), *Review of the State of World Marine Capture Fisheries Management: Indian Ocean*, pp. 67-84. FAO, Rome, Italy.

Dalzell, P., Adams, P.J.H. and Polunin, N.V.C. 1996. Coastal fisheries in the Pacific Islands. Ocenography and Marine Biology: An Annual Review 34: 395-531.

Darar, A. 1994. An account of fisheries development in the Republic of Djibouti with notes on the growth and mortality of three species of groupers. *Naga: ICLARM Quarterly Newsletter* 17(2): 30-32.

Dengo, A. and David, B. 1993. The linefishery in Southern Mozambique. Fish, fishers and fisheries. In: L.E. Beckley and R.P van der Elst (eds), Proceedings from the The Second South African Marine Linefish Symposium, pp. 104-106. Durban, South Africa.

Dunlop, M.I. 2011. An assessment of the shore-based and offshore boat-based linefisheries of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. MSc thesis, University of KwaZulu- Natal, Durban, South Africa.

El Barr, M.A. 2016. Catch per unit effort, maximum sustainable yield and exploitation of demersal fish of Omani artisanal fishery. *Journal of Coastal Life Medicine* 4(6): 440-443.

Flewelling, P and Hosch, G. 2006. Subregional review: Eastern Indian Ocean. In: FAO (ed.) (ed.), In: *Review of the State of World Marine Capture Fisheries Management: Indian Ocean*. FAO, Rome, Italy.

Ghorab, H.M., Bayoumi, A.R. Bebars, M.I. and Hassan A.A. 1986. Age and Growth of the Grouper *Epinephelus chlorostigma* (Serranidae) from the Red Sea. Bulletin of the Institute of Oceanography and Fisheries. ARE 12: 1-12.

Grandcourt, E.M. 2002. *Demographic characteristics of a selection of exploited reef fish from the Seychelles: preliminary study*.

Grandcourt EM, and Cesar HSJ. 2003. . The bio-economic impact of mass coral mortality on the coastal reef fisheries of the Seychelles. . *Fisheries Research* 60: 539-550.

Gutierrez, N.L. 2015. To conduct a risk assessment to identify threats and stock assessments for key demersal fish stocks. *Final mission report. GOS-UNDP-GEF Seychelles*.

Heemstra, P.C. and Randall, J.E. 1993. *FAO species catalogue. Vol. 16. Groupers of the world (Family Serranidae, Subfamily Epinephelinae). An annotated and illustrated catalogue of the grouper, rockcod, hind, coral grouper and lyretail species known to date.* FAO, Rome.

Intes, A. and Bach P. 1989. *Campagne de prospection des crustaces at poissons profonds sur les accores du Plateau Seychellois a bord du N. O. Allis, Convention France/Seychelles No. 87/206/01*.

IUCN. 2018. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2018-2. Available at: <u>www.iucnredlist.org</u>. (Accessed: 15 November 2018).

Kandula, S., Shrikanya, K. V. and Iswarya Deepti, V. A. 2015. Species diversity and some aspects of reproductive biology and life history of groupers (Pisces: Serranidae: Epinephelinae) off the central eastern coast of India. *Marine Biology Research* 11(1): 18-33.

Mann, B.Q. and Fielding, P.J. 2000. Shallow water fishes of the east (Indian Ocean) and northeast (Gulf of Aden) coast of Somalia. *East African Natural History Series Bulletin* 30(1): 1-12.

Mathews, C.P. and Samuel, M. 1991. Growth, mortality and length-weight parameters for some Kuwaiti fish and shrimp. Fishbyte 9((2):): 30-33.

Mees, C.C. 1996.. Demersal fish stock assessment in Seychelles: An analysis of a mothership/catcher boat fishery. In: In: F. Arreguin-Sanchez, J.L. Munro, M.C. Balgos and D. Pauly (eds.). (eds), Biology, Fisheries and Culture of Tropical Groupers and Snapper., pp. p. 449.. ICLARM, Manila (Philippines).

Morgans, J.F.C. 1964. A preliminary survey of bottom fishing on the North Kenya Banks. *Colonial Office Fishery Publications*: 59.

Morgans, J.F.C. 1982. Serranid fishes of Kenya and Tanzania. Ichthyological Bullutin of the J.L.B. Smith Institute of Ichthyology 46((1)): 44.

Mous PJ and Pet JS. 2016. Length-Based Assessment of Data-Poor Multi-Species Deep Slope Fisheries in Fisheries Management Areas (WPP) 573 in Indonesia. *TNC-IFCP Technical Paper. The Nature Conservancy/People and Nature Consulting International.* 125 pp.

Mous, P.J., Pet, J.S. 2016. Length-Based Assessment of Data-Poor Multi-Species Deep Grouper Fisheries in Fisheries Management Areas (WPP) 573, 712, 713, 714, 715 & 718 in Indonesia. *TNC-IFCP Technical Paper. The Nature Conservancy/People and Nature Consulting International*: 79.

Moussac. G. de. 1986.. Evidence of protogynous hermaphroditism of *Epinephelus chlorostigma* (Valenciennes, 1828) in Seychelles (Pisces, Serranidae). Cybium 10((3):): 249-262.

MRAG. 1996. The Status of Seychelles Demersal Fishery. Seychelles Fishing Authority Technical Report. Government of Seychelles, Victoria/Marine Resources Assessment Group Ltd, London.

Nzioka, R.M. 1977. Observations on the spawning seasons of East African reef fishes. Journal of Fish Biology 14: 329-342.

Oceanographic Research Institute. 1988. Natal offshore Sciaenidae and Serranidae: Unpublished report on research April 1987-1988. Report No. 54. In: ORI (ed.) (ed.), Oceanographic Research Institute Report. Oceanographic Research Institute, Durban, South Africa. Pauly, E. 1980. On the interrelationships between natural mortality, growth parameters, and mean environmental temperature in 175 fish stocks. *Conseil international pour l'exploration de la mer.* 39(3): 175-192.

Pears, R.J. 2005. Comparative demography and assemblage structure of serranid fishes: implications for conservation and fisheries management. James Cook University.

Pereira, M.A.M. 2003. Recreational scuba diving and reef conservation in southern Mozambique, M.Sc. Thesis. University of Natal.

Ralston, S. 1987. Mortality rates of snappers and groupers. In: J.J. Polovina and S. Ralston (eds), *Tropical snappers and groupers: biology and fisheries management*, pp. 375-404. RSMAS.

Randall, J.E., Bogorodsky, S.V., Krupp, F.R., Rose, J.M. and Fricke, R. 2013. Epinephelus geoffroyi (Klunzinger, 1870)(Pisces: Serranidae), a valid species of grouper endemic to the Red Sea and Gulf of Aden. *Zootaxa* 3641: 524-532.

Robertson, W.D., Schleyer, M.H., Fielding, P.J., Tomalin, B.J., Beckley, L.E., Fennessy, S.T., van der Elst, R.P., Bandeira, S., Macia, A. and Gove, D.. 1996. Inshore marine resources and associated opportunities for development of the coast of southern Mozambique: Ponta do Ouro to Cabo de Santa Maria. *Oceanographic Research Institute, Durban, South Africa* Unpublished Report 130: 41.

Robinson, J., Isidore, M., Marguerite, M.A., Öhman, M.C. and Payet, R.J. 2004. Spatial and temporal distribution of reef fish spawning aggregations in the Seychelles – An interview-based survey of artisanal fishers. *Western Indian Ocean Journal of Marine Science* 3: 63-69.

Rome, B.M., Newman, S.J. 2010. North coast fish identification guide. Department of Fisheries, Perth, Western Australia.

Sanders, M.J., Carrara, G. and Lablache, G. 1987. Preliminary assessment for the brown-spotted grouper *Epinephelus chlorostigma* occurring on the Mahé Plateau (Seychelles). In: In: M.J. Sanders, P. Sparre and S.C. Venema. (eds), Proceedings of the Workshop on the Assessment of the Fishery Resources in the Southwest Indian Ocean, pp. 268-277.

Sattar SA and Adams MS. 2005. Review of the grouper fishery of the Maldives with additional notes on the Faafu Atoll fishery. *Marine Research Centre, Maldives. 64 pp.*.

Sattar SA, Najeeb A, Afzal MS, Islam F and Wood E. 2011. Review of the Maldivian grouper fishery and export industry. *Darwin Reef Fish Project/Marine Research Centre/MCS UK. Male, Republic of Maldives. 36pp*.

van der Elst, R.P., David, B. and Govender, A. 1994. The marine linefish resources of Mozambique – status, developments and future research. Unpublished Report No. 194. Oceanographic Research Institute, Durban, South Africa.

Citation

Fennessy, S., Choat, J.H., Nair, R. & Robinson, J. 2018. *Epinephelus chlorostigma*. The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2018: e.T118358386A100463851. <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.2305/IUCN.UK.2018-2.RLTS.T118358386A100463851.en</u>

Disclaimer

To make use of this information, please check the <u>Terms of Use</u>.

External Resources

For <u>Supplementary Material</u>, and for <u>Images and External Links to Additional Information</u>, please see the Red List website.

Appendix

Habitats

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

Habitat	Season	Suitability	Major Importance?
9. Marine Neritic -> 9.2. Marine Neritic - Subtidal Rock and Rocky Reefs	Resident	Suitable	Yes
9. Marine Neritic -> 9.3. Marine Neritic - Subtidal Loose Rock/pebble/gravel	Resident	Suitable	Yes
9. Marine Neritic -> 9.4. Marine Neritic - Subtidal Sandy	Resident	Suitable	Yes
9. Marine Neritic -> 9.5. Marine Neritic - Subtidal Sandy-Mud	Resident	Suitable	Yes
9. Marine Neritic -> 9.9. Marine Neritic - Seagrass (Submerged)	Resident	Suitable	Yes

Threats

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

Threat	Timing	Scope	Severity	Impact Score
5. Biological resource use -> 5.4. Fishing & harvesting aquatic resources -> 5.4.1. Intentional use: (subsistence/small scale) [harvest]	Ongoing	-	-	-
	Stresses:	2. Species Stress	es -> 2.1. Species mor	rtality
5. Biological resource use -> 5.4. Fishing & harvesting aquatic resources -> 5.4.2. Intentional use: (large scale) [harvest]	Ongoing	-	-	-
	Stresses:	2. Species Stress	es -> 2.1. Species mor	rtality

Conservation Actions in Place

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

Conservation Actions in Place
In-Place Land/Water Protection and Management
Occur in at least one PA: Yes

Research Needed

г

(http://www.iucnredlist.org/technical-documents/classification-schemes)

Research Needed	
1. Research -> 1.2. Population size, distribution & trends	
1. Research -> 1.3. Life history & ecology	
3. Monitoring -> 3.1. Population trends	

Additional Data Fields

Distribution

Lower depth limit (m): 280

Upper depth limit (m): 4

Population

Population severely fragmented: No

Habitats and Ecology

Generation Length (years): 18

The IUCN Red List Partnership



The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species[™] is produced and managed by the <u>IUCN Global Species</u> <u>Programme</u>, the <u>IUCN Species Survival Commission</u> (SSC) and <u>The IUCN Red List Partnership</u>.

The IUCN Red List Partners are: <u>Arizona State University</u>; <u>BirdLife International</u>; <u>Botanic Gardens</u> <u>Conservation International</u>; <u>Conservation International</u>; <u>NatureServe</u>; <u>Royal Botanic Gardens</u>, <u>Kew</u>; <u>Sapienza University of Rome</u>; <u>Texas A&M University</u>; and <u>Zoological Society of London</u>.